PUBLIC MEETING

Habitat Conservation Plan Oceano Dunes District



Meeting to receive comment on Draft EIR

Meeting Agenda

Welcome!



Online Meeting Format

- Video and audio will be muted during the meeting.
- During the presentation, you have the opportunity to submit clarifying questions to "MIG QUESTIONS".
- Chat is only visible to the Host
- Instructions will be provided at the end of the presentation on how to provide public comment.



How to Use Chat



Chat: Address clarifying questions to "MIG – Questions"

Audio: Participant voice and video will be muted.

Introductions

SINCE 1864



Ronnie Glick Senior Environmental Scientist



Dan Canfield Park and Recreation Specialist





Paula Hartman Principal



Kate Werner Project Manager



Joan Chaplick Senior Facilitator

Meeting Purpose

Meeting Purpose

- Present overview of the HCP
- Present conclusions of Draft EIR
- Provide opportunity for verbal comment to be received
- Provide direction on submittal of written comments



HABITAT CONSERVATION PLAN FOR THE OCEANO DUNES DISTRICT



September 2019

HCP and State Park Mission

How does HCP fit in with State Park's mission?

To provide for the health, inspiration, and education of the people of California by helping to preserve the state's extraordinary biological diversity, protecting its most valued natural and cultural resources, and creating opportunities for high-quality recreation.



Oceano Dunes District

Natural Resources

- Diversity of biological resources
- Conservation beginning 1991
- Cultural Resources
 - Multiple areas of high sensitivity

Coastal Dependent Activity

- Non-vehicle recreation activities (e.g., pedestrian, equestrian, surfing, kiteboarding, etc.)
- Beach and coastal dune camping
- Beach and coastal dune vehicle recreation

District Context

- 2 million visitors every year
- Pismo State Beach is 1 of 62 state beaches (6% of total acreage)
- Oceano Dunes SVRA is 1 of 9 SVRAs (2.5% of total acreage)
- Oceano Dunes SVRA is only SVRA in a beach location



Three Planning Efforts – One HCP

Three separate planning efforts are currently underway:

- HCP Conservation plan supports the application for an Incidental Take Permit required by the federal Endangered Species Act
- Public Works Plan (PWP) Comprehensive, long-range land use management plan to comply with the California Coastal Act
- Particulate Matter Reduction Plan (PMRP) Dust control and monitoring measures supporting compliance with the SLOAPCD Stipulated Order of Abatement



Status of Draft HCP and NEPA Review

- Joint scoping period held with USFWS in 2018
- Separation of NEPA analysis from CEQA EIR
- USFWS still reviewing HCP and preparing separate EA
- Separate opportunity for public comment on EA
- Estimate release of EA in Summer 2020



Habitat Conservation Plan

HCP Overview

- Conservation plan required as part of an application for an "incidental" take permit, i.e., a permit allowing take caused by otherwise lawful activities. (Federal Endangered Species Act, Section 10(a))
- "Take" includes actions that harass, harm, pursue, wound, kill, etc. listed species. (Federal Endangered Species Act, Section 3)





- Ensure habitat-level protection and management
- Minimize human-related impacts to covered species
- Enhance survival and recovery of the covered species
- Meet resource management objectives





Covered Park Units

HCP Area = 5,005 acres

Pismo State Beach

- > 1,515 acres natural and developed
- Pismo Dunes Natural Preserve
 694-acre sub-unit
- > 70 acres Pismo Lake

Oceano Dunes SVRA

> 3,490 acres

Covered Species



Western snowy plover (FT)



California red-legged frog (FT)



California least tern (FE, SE, SP)



Tidewater goby (FE)

Covered Species



Beach spectaclepod (ST)



Marsh sandwort (FE, SE)



La Graciosa thistle (FE, ST)



Surf thistle (ST)



Nipomo Mesa lupine (FE, SE)



Gambel's watercress (FE, ST)

Covered Activities

- Park Visitor Activities
- Natural Resource Management
- Park Maintenance
- Visitor Services
- Other Activities
 - e.g., creek crossings, exclosure adjustments, dust control, and special projects







Park Visitor Activities

CA-1: Motorized recreation CA-2: Camping CA-3: Pedestrian activities CA-4: Bicycling and golfing CA-5: Fishing CA-6: Dog walking (on leash only) CA-7: Equestrian recreation CA-8: Boating/surfing CA-9: Aerial/wind-driven activities, including kiteboarding CA-10: Holidays CA-11: Special events



Natural Resources Management

CA-12a: SNPL/CLTE habitat protections/fencing CA-12b: SNPL/CLTE monitoring and management including ongoing programs CA-13: Tidewater goby and salmonid surveys CA-14: CRLF surveys and associated management CA-15: Listed plant management CA-16: Habitat restoration program CA-17: Invasive plant and animal control CA-18: Habitat Monitoring System (HMS) implementation CA-19: Water quality monitoring projects

Park Maintenar

CA-20: Campground maintenance

CA-21: General facilities maintenance

CA-22: Trash control

CA-23: Wind fencing installation, maintenance, and removal

CA-24: Sand ramp and other vehicular access maintenance

CA-25: Street sweeping

CA-26: Routine riparian maintenance



Park Maintenance

CA-27: Perimeter and vegetation island fence installation, maintenance, and removal

CA-28: Cable fence maintenance and replacement

CA-29: Heavy equipment response in all areas of Oceano Dunes District

CA-30: Minor grading (i.e., less than 50 cubic yards)

CA-31: Boardwalk and other pedestrian access maintenance















Visitor Services

CA-32: Ranger, lifeguard, and park aide patrols

CA-33: Emergency response by CDPR staff

CA-34: Access by non-CDPR vehicles

CA-35: American Safety Institute (ASI) courses

CA-36: Beach concessions

CA-37: Pismo Beach Golf Course operations

CA-38: Grover Beach Lodge and Conference Center

CA-39: Natural history and interpretation programs



Other HCP Covered Activities

CA-40: Motorized vehicle crossing of Pismo/Carpenter, Arroyo Grande, and Oso Flaco creeks

CA-41: Pismo Creek estuary seasonal (floating) bridge

CA-42: Riding in 40 Acres

CA-43: Replacement of the Safety and Education Center

CA-44: Dust control activities

CA-45: Cultural resources management

CA-46: CDPR management of agricultural lands

CA-47: Maintenance of a bioreactor on agricultural lands

CA-48: Oso Flaco Lake boardwalk replacement

CA-49: Special projects

CA-50: Reduction of the Boneyard and 6 exclosures

CA-51: Use of pesticides

CA-52: CDPR UAS use for park activities

Conservation Program

Key Elements:

- Built on 20+ years of survey data
- Includes Avoidance and Minimization Measures
- Utilizes adaptive management
- Identifies permit take limits
- Commitment to secure funding for 25-year permit term





Number of breeding adult snowy plovers in the HCP area from 2004-2019 with Recovery Plan management target



Number of least tern juveniles produced in the HCP area and reference sites from 2004-2019

HCP Avoidance and Minimization Measures



Example of AMMs

Avoidance and Minimization Measures (AMMs) for Snowy Plover

Park Visitor Activities: Motorized recreation (CA-1)

Potential Effects: Adults/juveniles/chicks struck by vehicles; Breeding/foraging/roosting disturbance; Chicks separated from adult(s) and inadequately attended or exposed to predation/inclement weather; Eggs buried by sand, exposed to predation, or not properly incubated when adults are disturbed; Chicks/eggs abandoned when adults are disturbed, killed, or injured; Eggs crushed

AMM 24 CDPR peace officers will continue to provide focused enforcement of HCP area regulations (e.g., 15-mph speed limits). CDPR peace officers will continue to respond to requests by monitors for assistance with SNPL protection and security. Enforcement of laws affecting safety of SNPL will continue to be the highest non-emergency Law Enforcement priority.



Take Permit Request

- Take numbers broadly split into categories
 - Park operations, recreation, and other non-covered species management
 - Covered species management
- Take numbers quantified as follows (HCP Tables 4-1 4-6):
 - Snowy plover and least tern: 1- and 5-year limits
 - Red-legged frog: annual and 25-year limits for aquatic and upland habitat
 - Tidewater goby: annual survey numbers + 5-year and 25-year take limits



Program Funding - What does it cost?

Estimated Annual Cost

Snowy plover/Least tern	\$2,213,101.24
Tidewater goby	\$21,195.18
Red-legged frog	\$34,236.12
Listed plants	\$48,923.96
Reporting	\$122,668.50
Other items of expense	\$45,204.86
	\$2,485,329.86

Estimated Cost over 25-year Life of HCP

\$79,600,000 to \$90,600,000



Draft Environmental Impact Report

Scope of Analysis

Existing Activities (Baseline Conditions)

- Park Visitor Activities (11 CAs)
- Natural Resources Management (8 CAs)
- Park Maintenance (12 CAs)
- Visitor Services (7 CAs)
- Other Activities (6 CAs)

Environmental review (CEQA) not required for existing activities



Scope of Analysis continued

Potential Future Activities

- SNPL Adult Banding (CA-12-b)
- Listed Plant Propagation and Outplanting (CA-15)
- Cable Fence Replacement (CA-28)
- Grover Beach Lodge (CA-38)
- Pismo Creek Estuary Seasonal (Floating) Bridge (CA-41)

- Riding in "40 Acres" (CA-42)
- Safety and Education Center Replacement (CA-43)
- Dust Control Activities –New PMRP (CA-44)
- Oso Flaco Lake Boardwalk Replacement (CA-48)
- Special Projects (CA-49)

Potential future activities considered in EIR cumulative analysis



Scope of Analysis continued

Proposed New Activities

- Plover chicks and eggs captive rearing (CA-12b)
- Mechanical trash removal (CA-21)
- Reduction of Seasonal Exclosures (CA-50)
- CDPR use of unmanned aircraft systems (CA-52)

Proposed new activities subject to CEQA review in HCP EIR



Scope of Analysis continued

Seasonal Exclosure Changes (CA-50)

- Elimination of East Boneyard Exclosure (49 acres)
- Phased reduction 6 Exclosure (up to 60 acres)

Criteria for Reducing 6 Exclosure

- Breeding SNPL population size ≥ 155 for 3 consecutive years, including the year before the 6 Exclosure is reduced in size
- SNPL fledge rate ≥ 1.0 fledglings per male for same 3 consecutive years, including the year before the 6 Exclosure is reduced in size
- Breeding CLTE population size ≥ a 5-year running average of 35 nesting pairs, including the year before the 6 Exclosure is reduced in size.
- CLTE fledge rate averages ≥ 1.0 fledglings per pair over the same 5 consecutive years, including the year before the 6 Exclosure is reduced in size.
- Operational criteria
Environmental Impact Analysis

- Land Use
- Air Quality
- Biological Resources
- Cultural/Tribal Resources
- Recreation/Public Access



Analysis Conclusions

- Land Use: No significant changes
- Air Quality: Potential dust increases offset by mitigation
- Biological Resources: AMMs sufficient to avoid significant effects
- Cultural and Tribal Resources: No significant impact
- Recreation and Public Access: Increased opportunity on 109 acres



Project Alternatives

Considered and Rejected Alternatives

- No Take park operations
- Off-site mitigation in lieu of nesting exclosures
- Changes in SVRA access
- Restricted riding times
- Increased vehicle limits



Project Alternatives

- Alternatives Considered in Detail
 - No Project Alternative
 - Reduced Disturbance in High PM10 Emissivity Areas
 - Permanent Year-Round Exclosures
 - Reduced Vehicle Limits
- Environmentally Superior Alternative



CEQA Process

State Parks determines HCP is a "project" under CEQA and has potential for significant impacts

Scoping Period: Public input on scope of EIR

(60 days)

State Parks prepares Draft EIR

Public input

You Are Here

Public

input

State Parks:

• Considers and certifies final EIR

 Adopts Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Plan



Review Period: public/agency review and comment on Draft HCP and Draft EIR (98 days)

State Parks responds to comments and prepares Final EIR

Status of Draft HCP and Take Permit

- USFWS still reviewing HCP and preparing separate EA
- USFWS release of Draft HCP and EA in Summer 2020
- 45-day public review
- Estimated Take Permit issuance Early 2021



Clarifying Questions

Public Comment

Public Comment

Per CEQA Guidelines, State Parks is required to respond to any significant environmental issues raised

Examples:

- Adequacy of EIR in identifying significant environmental effects of proposed new activities
- **o** Ways environmental impacts can be avoided or significantly reduced
- Changes in project through the use of alternatives or mitigation measures



Verbal Public Comment Instructions

- Send your full name to "Joan Chaplick Public Comment"
- If you are using your phone for the audio, please provide your phone number so we can unmute you when it is time to speak
- Chat is only visible to the Host
- Moderator will call out names in groups of 3 so you know if your turn is coming up
- Each speaker will get up to 2 minutes for their comments



How to Use Chat



Chat: Will act like a speaker card, participants who want to make public comment. Please type in your name and organization (if any).

Audio: Participant voice and video will be muted.

Moderator will call out the names of those seeking to comment.

Ways to Provide Comments

- Provide verbal comment now
 Submit your name using chat feature.
- 2) Mail written comments to: California State Parks, Oceano Dunes District 340 James Way, Suite 270 Pismo Beach, CA 93449 Attn: Ronnie Glick
- 3) E-mail comments to: Oceano Dunes District OceanoDunesHCP@parks.ca.gov

Submit written comments by: Monday, June 1, 2020, 5:00 p.m.



Time for Public Comments on Draft EIR